

Using Distributed Object Technologies for Network Management

George Pavlou Centre for Communication Systems Research University of Surrey, UK

http://www.ee.surrey.ac.uk/CCSR/Networks/





- After the emergence of CORBA, a lot of research has taken place in the mid- to late-1990's on using Distributed Object Technologies (DOTs) for management
 - DOTs are naturally suited to service management which has a lot to do with flow-through automation and process re-engineering
 - Network management is more about information retrieval and manipulation in real-time, more difficult to readily deploy DOTs
- The X/Open-TMF Joint Inter-Domain Management (JIDM) Task Force produced generic mappings from SNMP SMI and OSI-SM GDMO to CORBA IDL and proposed interaction mappings
 - The approach was never deployed per se in a large scale but variations of it have and are being used in telecom environments
 - Mostly through semantic rather than generic/syntactic mapping of existing information models to CORBA IDL
- Based on these efforts and lessons leaned, a simple semantic approach is proposed to be possibly used for Internet management



- GDMO objects have attributes and actions (methods)
- At the object boundary, possible retrieval operations are:
 - get(attrNameList), get(allAttrs), get(noAttrs)
- At the Agent/Protocol level (CMIS/P), operations for information retrieval are:
 - Get(objName, scope, filter, attrNameList|allAttrs|noAttrs)
 - Scope works on tree-like MIB structure
 - 1 linked reply per selected object + an empty series terminator
- Tables are modelled as multi-instance objects "hanging" from a container object
 - Access: Get(containerObjName, scope=1stLevel, noFilter, allAttrs)



Internet Management (SNMP)

- SNMP objects are simple scalar entities (of integer, string, OID type) that can be read and written
 - Similar to attributes in GDMO and DOT information models
 - No explicit association of objects modelling an entity, e.g. a protocol machine, a table entry, etc.
- At the Agent/Protocol level, operations for information retrieval are:
 - Get(objNameList)
 - GetNext(oidList)
 - GetBulk(oidList, repetitions) simplified
 - GetNext and GetBulk work based on the lexicographically linear MIB structure, used mostly for table retrieval
- (2-dimensional) Tables are modelled as rows of objects

UniS Distributed Object Technologies

- Primarily distributed software frameworks, with simple request-response (RPC-like) protocol
 - Formal specification of object interfaces e.g. CORBA IDL
 - Simple to use API with stub objects in local address space
 - Strict-typing against loose SNMP-CMIS/P typing
- Advantages: method support, simple to use API, multiple language bindings, services, not management-specific
- Disadvantages: resource-expensive for large object populations, sub-optimal information retrieval
 - Default: 1 method per object attribute



Which Features Are Really Necessary?

- First of all, a reminder that we are talking mainly about information retrieval
- CMIS/P scoped Get and SNMP GetNext/GetBulk are mostly used for table retrieval
 - Plain Get is adequate for 99% of all other cases
- Modelling table entries as separate dynamic objects can be very resource expensive
 - For example, in CORBA this is prohibitive for O(10⁵) object populations even with the Portable Object Adapter (POA)
- The key problems to address in DOTs are:
 - Multiple attribute retrieval per interface in one go
 - Table modelling and retrieval



Methods for Multiple Attribute Retrieval (Strong Typing)

- Proposal for non-table objects: semantic attribute grouping per interface with access methods
 - Typically a method for static attributes (i.e. properties)
 - Methods grouping dynamic counters (including also time)

• Example: TCP protocol machine

TcpStaticAttrs	getStaticAttrs();	// RtoAlg, RtoMin, RtoMax
TcpConnCounters	getConnCounters();	<pre>// Time, ActiveOpens,</pre>
		// PassiveOpens,
TcpSegmCounters	getSegmCounters();	<pre>// Time, InSegs, OutSegs,</pre>
		// RetransSegs
TcpCounters	getAllCounters();	<pre>// Connection + Segment</pre>

- Semantic grouping based on usage requirements
- Also possibly available individual attribute access methods and a getAllAttrs() method
- Strong typing approach with method signatures



- Every interface inherits from a generic MO interface (similar to the *top* GDMO class)
 - Keeps names/values of derived class attributes (values as Any type)
 - Supports get(attrNameList) method for arbitrary combination of attributes (like in CMIS/P and SNMP)
- This approach is more complex and arguably against the strong-typing nature of DOTs

Unis Table Modelling and Retrieval

- One possibility is to model table entries as separate interfaces, with the containing object returning interface references to them
 - **TCP example:** IntRefList getConnRefs();
 - Too many fine-grain distributed objects => does not scale
- Proposal: model tables through a "list of records" structure accessible through a containing object method
 - Methods to retrieve the number of entries, retrieve the whole table and add/remove an entry
 - Similar to GDMO set- or sequence-valued attributes
 - TCP example: long getConnNo(); TcpConnList getConnInfo();
 - Retrieval method may return a large amount of information, we rely on CO reliable transport protocol e.g. CORBA IIOP, WS SOAP/HTTP/TCP





- Simple approach that supports bulk retrieval for tables
- Only static objects have interfaces advertised through the naming service
 - Relatively few objects per node => scalability
- Attribute grouping for retrieval based on usage requirements
- Similar grouping required for configuration settings