
Computational Geometry

Chapter 2: Convex Hull

Prof. Dr. Sándor Fekete

Algorithms Division
Department of Computer Science
TU Braunschweig




- 1. Introduction and Definitions**
- 2. Interlude: Algorithmic Paradigms**
- 3. Jarvis' March**
- 4. Quickhull**
- 5. Divide-and-conquer and incremental construction**
- 6. Graham's Scan**
- 7. Optimal output-sensitive construction**

Task:

- Given: Set of n points in \mathbb{R}^d
- Wanted: Smallest enclosing convex object

Intuition in \mathbb{R}^2 :

- Draw points on a wooden board.
- Put in nails at points.
- Let a rubber band snap to the nails.



Theorem 1.18: Computing the convex hull takes $\Omega(n \log n)$.


Theorem 2.35: Computing the h vertices of the convex hull can be done in $\Omega(n \log h)$.



CONVEX HULK

Definition 2.1

For $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^d$: $\overline{pq} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta = 1, x = \alpha p + \beta q\}$




$$p + \lambda(q - p), 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \\ = (1 - \lambda)p + \lambda q$$

Definition 2.2

For $\{p_0, \dots, p_{n-1}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a **convex combination** of $\{p_0, \dots, p_{n-1}\}$, if

$$\exists \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \in [0, 1] \text{ with } 1. \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i p_i = x$$

$$2. \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i = 1$$




- $\overline{pq} = \{x \mid x \text{ convex combination of } \{p, q\}\}$
- $\triangle(p, q, r) = \{x \mid x \text{ convex combination of } \{p, q, r\}\}$

Definition 2.3

Convex hull $\text{conv}(\mathcal{P})$ of $\mathcal{P} := \{p_0, \dots, p_{n-1}\}$:

$$\text{conv}(\mathcal{P}) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x \text{ is convex combination of } \mathcal{P}\}$$




Theorem 2.4 (Carathéodory)

$\text{conv}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{Union of all convex combinations with at most } (d + 1) \text{ points in } \mathcal{P}$

Corollary 2.5


$\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \Rightarrow \text{conv}(\mathcal{P})$ union of all $\triangle(p, q, r)$ with $p, q, r \in \mathcal{P}$.




Lemma 2.6

The following definitions are equivalent to Definition 2.3.


$$1. \text{conv}(\mathcal{P}) := \bigcap_{P \supset \mathcal{P}, P \text{ convex}} P$$



$$2. \text{ For } d = 2: \nexists \text{ convex polygon } P : \mathcal{P} \subseteq P \subsetneq \text{conv}(\mathcal{P})$$



$$3. \text{ For } d = 2: \text{conv}(\mathcal{P}) := \text{conv. polygon } P \text{ with minimal circumference (area) with } \mathcal{P} \subset P$$




- From now on $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$
- First Approach: Find vertices of $\text{conv}(\mathcal{P})$ by elimination
- Negation of Corollary 2.5:

x not vertex of $\text{conv}(\mathcal{P})$



$\exists p_i, p_j, p_k \in \mathcal{P} : x = \text{non-trivial convex combination of } p_i, p_j, p_k.$




Algorithm 2.7

```

1: for ( all triples  $(p_i, p_j, p_k)$  of points in  $\mathcal{P}$  ) do
2:   for ( all points  $p$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  ) do
3:     if ( $p$  lies in the inside of  $\Delta(p_i, p_j, p_k)$ 
        or on a boundary edge of  $\Delta(p_i, p_j, p_k)$ ) then
4:       mark  $p$  as an interior point.
5:  $\mathcal{P}' := \{p \in \mathcal{P} \mid \text{is unmarked}\};$ 
    
```


Analysis of Algorithm 2.7:

- $\binom{n}{3} \in \Theta(n^3)$ triangles
- Per triangle: $\Theta(n)$ further points
- Sort $\mathcal{O}(n)$ vertices
- Total runtime: $\mathcal{O}(n^4 + n \log n) = \mathcal{O}(n^4)$



Sorting criterion:

- CCW on $\text{conv}(\mathcal{P})$.
- Polar angle wrt y -minimal point in \mathcal{P} .
- Issue: Do we need trigonometry?




Lexicographic order

- Choose the „y-minimal“ point by **lexicographic order**:

$$(p.x, p.y) \leq_y (q.x, q.y) :\Leftrightarrow ((p.y < q.y) \vee ((p.y = q.y) \wedge (p.x \leq q.x)))$$



- Analogously: by x -coordinate

$$(p.x, p.y) \leq_x (q.x, q.y) :\Leftrightarrow ((p.x < q.x) \vee ((p.x = q.x) \wedge (p.y \leq q.y)))$$



Observation:

- Goal: CCW order
- Sort by polar angle.
- Sufficient: pairwise comparison of points s, q
- Check relative position of q wrt $\overline{p_{\min}s}$



Consequence:

- Predicate: $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow ((a = p_{\min}) \vee (a = b) \vee (\text{LEFTTURN}(p_{\min}, a, b) = \text{TRUE}))$

1. Introduction and Definitions
2. Interlude: Algorithmic Paradigms
3. Jarvis' March
4. Quickhull
5. Divide-and-conquer and incremental construction
6. Graham's Scan
7. Optimal output-sensitive construction

Review: Sorting



- Algorithms and Data Structures 1
- Various algorithmic paradigms

Sorting algorithms:

- Incremental methods:
 - Bubble Sort, Selection Sort, Insertion Sort
- Divide-and-conquer methods:
 - Quicksort, Mergesort
- Methods based on data structures:
 - Heapsort, sorting by AVL tree
- Other methods:
 - Bucket Sort, Shellsort, ...


Difference: Selection Sort <-> Insertion Sort

- Selection Sort: Search in *unsorted* part of array
- Insertion Sort: Search in *sorted* part of array


**Approach:**

- Selection Sort: Find next element for extending the order
- Insertion Sort: Insert next element, such that sequence remains sorted

- Split (Quicksort) or combine (Mergesort)




Quicksort



Mergesort

„Algorithms and Data Structures“: AVL-tree




Theorem 1.18: Computing the convex hull takes $\Omega(n \log n)$ in certain models of computation.

Proof: Recall that comparison-based sorting takes $\Omega(n \log n)$.

Consider a set of n numbers, x_1, \dots, x_n .

Map them to the points $(x_1, x_1^2) \dots, (x_n, x_n^2)$.



3, 5, 1, 2, 4, 7, 6

The convex hull yields the sorted order of numbers.

1. Introduction and Definitions
2. Interlude: Algorithmic Paradigms
3. **Jarvis' March**
4. Quickhull
5. Divide-and-conquer and incremental construction
6. Graham's Scan
7. Optimal output-sensitive construction

ON THE IDENTIFICATION OF THE CONVEX HULL OF A FINITE SET OF POINTS IN THE PLANE

R.A. JARVIS

The Australian National University, Department of Statistics, Box 4, Canberra, A.C.T. 2600, Australia

Received 6 December 1972

convex hull

algorithm

1. Introduction

This paper presents an extremely simple algorithm for identifying the convex hull of a finite set of points in the plane in essentially, at most $n(m+1)$ operations for n points in the set and $m \leq n$ points on the convex hull. In most cases far less than $n(m+1)$ operations are necessary because of a powerful point deletion mechanism that can easily be included. The operations are themselves trivial (computationally inexpensive) and consist of angle comparisons only. Even these angle comparisons need not be actually carried out if an improvement suggested in a later section is implemented. Although Graham's algorithm [1] requires no more than $(n \log n)/\log 2 + Cn$ operations*, the operations are themselves more complex than those of the method presented here; in particular, Graham's method would not be as efficient for low m .

2. Geometric interpretation

The underlying method of the algorithm can be described simply: find an origin point outside the point set and swing a radius arm in an arbitrary direction until a point of the set is met; this point becomes

* To quote Graham, "C is a small positive constant which depends on what is meant by an 'operation'". In fact, C is distributed over the five basic steps of Graham's algorithm and his paper should be consulted for detailed interpretation.




Fig. 1. Geometric interpretation of the algorithm.

the first point on the hull. Make this the new origin point and swing a radius arm from this point in the same direction as before till the next hull point is found. Repeat until the points are enclosed by the convex hull. Delete points from further consideration if

- (i) they have already been identified as being on the convex hull,
- (ii) they lie in the area enclosed by a line from the first to the last convex hull point found and the lines joining the convex hull points in the sequence found.

Fig. 1 illustrates this geometric interpretation.



IDEA

*A series of nonverbal
algorithm assembly instructions.*


GIFT WRÄPPING

idea-instructions.com/gift-wrapping/
Based on a guest contribution by Christoph Hansknecht – v1.1, CC by-nc-sa 4.0


IDEA




1a




1b




1c




1d




2a




2b





2c




2d



3



4




Basic idea:

- Iteratively find next edge on boundary of $\text{conv}(\mathcal{P})$
- Analogy: Selection Sort.
 - Find next element for continuing sorted order
- Start: minimal point p_{\min} wrt \leq_y

Intuition:


- „Gift wrapping“



Algorithm 2.9: Compute $conv(\mathcal{P})$ with Jarvis' March.

```

algorithm jarvis(S) is
    // S is the set of points
    // P will be the set of points which form the
    // convex hull. Final set size is i.
    pointOnHull = leftmost point in S // which is
    guaranteed to be part of the CH(S)
    i := 0
    repeat
        P[i] := pointOnHull
        endpoint := S[0] // initial endpoint
        for a candidate edge on the hull
            for j from 0 to |S| do
                // endpoint == pointOnHull is a rare
                // case and can happen only when j == 1 and a better
                // endpoint has not yet been set for the loop
                if (endpoint == pointOnHull) or (S[j]
                is on left of line from P[i] to endpoint) then
                    endpoint := S[j] // found
                    greater left turn, update endpoint
                i := i + 1
                pointOnHull = endpoint
    until endpoint = P[0] // wrapped around
    to first hull point
    
```



From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

By Maonus, CC BY-SA 4.0

Algorithm 2.9: Compute $conv(\mathcal{P})$ with Jarvis' March.


```

algorithm jarvis(S) is
    // S is the set of points
    // P will be the set of points which form the
    // convex hull. Final set size is i.
    pointOnHull = leftmost point in S // which is
    guaranteed to be part of the CH(S)
    i := 0
    repeat
        P[i] := pointOnHull
        endpoint := S[0] // initial endpoint
        for a candidate edge on the hull
            for j from 0 to |S| do
                // endpoint == pointOnHull is a rare
                // case and can happen only when j == 1 and a better
                // endpoint has not yet been set for the loop
                if (endpoint == pointOnHull) or (S[j]
                is on left of line from P[i] to endpoint) then
                    endpoint := S[j] // found
                    greater left turn, update endpoint
                i := i + 1
                pointOnHull = endpoint
    until endpoint = P[0] // wrapped around
    to first hull point
    
```

$O(n)$

$O(n)$

$O(h)$



From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

By Maonus, CC BY-SA 4.0

Theorem 2.10

Jarvis' March computes the h vertices of $\text{conv}(\mathcal{P})$ in $\mathcal{O}(hn)$.

Algorithm 2.9: Compute $\text{conv}(\mathcal{P})$ with Jarvis' March.

```

algorithm jarvis(S) is
  // S is the set of points
  // P will be the set of points which form the
  // convex hull. Final set size is i.
  pointOnHull = leftmost point in S // which is
  // guaranteed to be part of the CH(S)
  i := 0
  repeat
    P[i] := pointOnHull
    endpoint := S[0] // initial endpoint
    for a candidate edge on the hull
    for j from 0 to |S| do
      // endpoint == pointOnHull is a rare
      // case and can happen only when j == 1 and a better
      // endpoint has not yet been set for the loop
      if (endpoint == pointOnHull) or (S[j]
      is on left of line from P[i] to endpoint) then
        endpoint := S[j] // found
        greater left turn, update endpoint
      i := i + 1
      pointOnHull = endpoint
    until endpoint = P[0] // wrapped around
    to first hull point
  
```

 $\mathcal{O}(n)$ $\mathcal{O}(n)$ $\mathcal{O}(h)$ *output-sensitive*

1. Introduction and Definitions
2. Interlude: Algorithmic Paradigms
3. Jarvis' March
4. **Quickhull**
5. Divide-and-conquer and incremental construction
6. Graham's Scan
7. Optimal output-sensitive construction

A New Convex Hull Algorithm for Planar Sets

WILLIAM F. EDDY

Carnegie-Mellon University

A new algorithm, CONVEX, that determines which points of a planar set are vertices of the convex hull of the set is presented. It is shown that CONVEX operates in a fashion similar to the sorting algorithm QUICKERSORT. Evidence is given which indicates that in some situations CONVEX is preferable to earlier algorithms. A Fortran implementation, intended to minimize execution time, is presented and an alternative, which minimizes storage requirements, is discussed.

Key Words and Phrases: convex hull, QUICKERSORT, partitioning, sorting

CR Categories: 5.30, 5.31

The Algorithm: CONVEX, A New Convex Hull Algorithm for Planar Sets. *ACM Trans. Math. Software* 3, 4 (Dec. 1977), 411-412.

INTRODUCTION

The convex hull of a planar set is the minimum-area convex polygon containing the planar set. A convex polygon is clearly determined by its vertices. Graham [1] suggests an algorithm for determining which points of a planar set are vertices of its convex hull. Because his algorithm requires sorting the points, if there are N points then at least $O(N \log N)$ operations are needed to determine the vertices. Recently, Preparata and Hong [3, 4] have shown that there exist sets of points for which every algorithm requires at least $O(N \log N)$ operations to determine the vertices of the convex hull. Jarvis [2] gives an algorithm which requires $O(N \cdot C)$ operations, where C is the number of vertices. For some configurations of the points in the plane (those with small values of C) the algorithm given by Jarvis will be faster than the algorithm of Graham; for other configurations it may be slower. An adaptive algorithm, CONVEX, is presented here which never requires more than $O(N \cdot C)$ operations to determine the vertices of the convex hull and may require substantially fewer. However, CONVEX may require more operations than Graham's algorithm for some configurations of points. Evidence is presented which suggests that in applications CONVEX is preferable to the "sorting" algorithms [1, 3, 4] and to Jarvis's algorithm [2].

METHOD

Operationally, this algorithm is analogous to the sorting algorithm QUICKERSORT [5]. At each step QUICKERSORT partitions the input array with respect to a



Copyright © 1977, Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. General permission to republish, but not for profit, all or part of this material is granted provided that ACM's copyright notice is given and that reference is made to the publication, to its date of issue, and to the fact that reprinting privileges were granted by permission of the Association for Computing Machinery.

This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant DCR75-08374.



Author's address: Department of Statistics, Carnegie-Mellon University, Schenley Park, Pittsburgh, PA 15213.

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol. 3, No. 4, December 1977, Pages 398-403.

KVICK SÖRT



idea-instructions.com/quick-sort/
v1.2, CC by-nc-sa 4.0 **IDEA**




Basic idee:

- Use pivot element for subdivision into independent subsets
- Analogy: Quicksort
 - Pivot element $m \in A$: $A \rightarrow A_{<m} \circ A_{=m} \circ A_{>m}$
 - Concatenate subsequences


**Transfer to \mathbb{R}^2 :**


- Separation of \mathcal{P} by line g
- $g :=$ line through extreme points l, r
- Concatenation of recursively computed hull
 $\rightarrow \text{conv}(\mathcal{P})$



Choosing the pivot element:

- Points above g
- Auxiliary point h : maximal distance to g .
- New pivot elements: $\overline{lh}, \overline{hr}$
- Delete $\mathcal{P} \cap \triangle(l, r, h)$.
- Two recursions:
Above \overline{lh} and below \overline{rh}
- „Exhaustion from inside“
- Analogously below g





By Maonus, CC BY-SA 4.0

Algorithm 2.11: Compute $\text{conv}(\mathcal{P})$ with Quickhull.

```

Input = a set  $S$  of  $n$  points
Assume that there are at least 2 points in the input set  $S$  of points

function QuickHull( $S$ ) is
    // Find convex hull from the set  $S$  of  $n$  points
    Convex Hull := {}
    Find left and right most points, say  $A$  &  $B$ , and add  $A$  &  $B$  to convex hull
    Segment  $AB$  divides the remaining  $(n - 2)$  points into 2 groups  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ 
        where  $S_1$  are points in  $S$  that are on the right side of the oriented line from  $A$  to  $B$ ,
        and  $S_2$  are points in  $S$  that are on the right side of the oriented line from  $B$  to  $A$ 
    FindHull( $S_1$ ,  $A$ ,  $B$ )
    FindHull( $S_2$ ,  $B$ ,  $A$ )
    Output := Convex Hull
end function

function FindHull( $S_k$ ,  $P$ ,  $Q$ ) is
    // Find points on convex hull from the set  $S_k$  of points
    // that are on the right side of the oriented line from  $P$  to  $Q$ 
    if  $S_k$  has no point then
        return
    From the given set of points in  $S_k$ , find farthest point, say  $C$ , from segment  $PQ$ 
    Add point  $C$  to convex hull at the location between  $P$  and  $Q$ 
    Three points  $P$ ,  $Q$ , and  $C$  partition the remaining points of  $S_k$  into 3 subsets:  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ , and
     $S_2$ 
        where  $S_0$  are points inside triangle  $PCQ$ ,  $S_1$  are points on the right side of the
    oriented
        line from  $P$  to  $C$ , and  $S_2$  are points on the right side of the oriented line from  $C$  to
     $Q$ .
    FindHull( $S_1$ ,  $P$ ,  $C$ )
    FindHull( $S_2$ ,  $C$ ,  $Q$ )
end function

```

Theorem 2.12

Quickhull computes $\text{conv}(\mathcal{P})$ in $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ worst-case and in $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ best-case runtime.

Exercises:

- Details of implementation
- Termination
- Runtime

1. Introduction and Definitions
2. Interlude: Algorithmic Paradigms
3. Jarvis' March
4. Quickhull
5. Divide-and-conquer and incremental construction
6. Graham's Scan
7. Optimal output-sensitive construction

4. Bobrow, D.G., Burchfiel, J.D., Murphy, D.L., and Tomlinson, R.S. TENEX, a paged time sharing system for the PDP-10. *Comm. ACM* 15, 3 (March 1972), 135-143.
5. Bobrow, D.G., and Murphy, D.L. The structure of a LISP system using two level storage. *Comm. ACM* 10, 3 (March 1967), 155-159.
6. Cheney, C.J. A nonrecursive list compacting algorithm. *Comm. ACM* 13, 11 (Nov. 1970), 677-678.
7. Deutsch, L.P. An interactive program verifier. Ph.D. Th., Comptr. Sci. Dep., U. of California, Berkeley, Calif., May 1973.
8. Deutsch, L.P. A LISP machine with very compact programs. Third Int. Joint Conf. on Artificial Intelligence, Stanford, Calif., 1973, pp. 697-703.
9. Fenichel, R.R., and Yochelson, J.C. A LISP garbage-collector for virtual-memory computer systems. *Comm. ACM* 12, 11 (Nov. 1969), 611-612.
10. Hansen, W.J. Compact list representation: Definition, garbage collection, and system implementation. *Comm. ACM* 12, 9 (Sept. 1969), 499-507.
11. Hehner, E.C.R. Matching program and data representations to a computing environment. Ph.D. Th., Comptr. Systems Res. Group, U. of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, Nov. 1974.
12. McCarthy, J., et al. *LISP 1.5 Programmer's Manual*. M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1962.
13. Minsky, M.L. A LISP garbage collector algorithm using serial secondary storage. Artificial Intelligence Proj. Memo 58 (rev.), Project MAC, M.I.T., Cambridge, Mass., Dec. 1963.
14. Quam, L.H. Stanford LISP 1.6 manual. Artificial Intelligence Proj., Stanford University, Stanford, Calif., Sept. 1969.
15. Reboh, R., and Sacerdoti, E. A preliminary QLISP manual. Tech. Note 81, Stanford Res. Inst. AI Center, Menlo Park, Calif., Aug. 1973.
16. Sacerdoti, E. The nonlinear nature of plans. Fourth Int. Joint Conf. on Artificial Intelligence, Tbilisi, Georgia, U.S.S.R., 1975, pp. 206-214.
17. Shannon, C.E. A mathematic theory of communication. *Bell System Tech. J.* 27 (July 1948), 379-423.
18. Smith, D.H., Masinter, L.M., and Sridharan, N.S. Heuristic DENDRAL: Analysis of molecular structure. In *Computer Representation and Manipulation of Chemical Information*, W.T. Wipke, S. Heller, R. Feldman, and E. Hyde, Eds., Wiley, New York, 1974.
19. Teitelman, W. INTERLISP Reference manual. Xerox Palo Alto Res. Center, Palo Alto, Calif., 1974.
20. Van der Poel, W.L. A Manual of HISP for the PDP-9. Technical U., Delft, Netherlands.
21. Weissman, C. *LISP 1.5 Primer*. Dickenson Pub. Co., Belmont, Calif., 1967.
22. Wilner, W.T. Design of the B1700. Proc. AFIPS 1972 FJCC, Vol. 41, AFIPS Press, Montvale, N.J., pp. 579-586.
23. Zipf, G.K. *Human Behavior and the Principle of Least Effort*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1949.

Convex Hulls of Finite Sets of Points in Two and Three Dimensions

F. P. Preparata and S. J. Hong
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

The convex hulls of sets of n points in two and three dimensions can be determined with $O(n \log n)$ operations. The presented algorithms use the "divide and conquer" technique and recursively apply a merge procedure for two nonintersecting convex hulls. Since any convex hull algorithm requires at least $O(n \log n)$ operations, the time complexity of the proposed algorithms is optimal within a multiplicative constant.

Key Words and Phrases: computational complexity, convex hull, optimal algorithms, planar set of points, spatial set of points

CR Categories: 4.49, 5.25, 5.32

1. Introduction

The determination of the convex hull of a finite set of points is relevant to several problems in computer graphics, design automation, pattern recognition and operations research: references [3, 4, 10]—just to cite a few—discuss some interesting applications in these areas, which require convex hull computation.


Copyright © 1977, Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. General permission to republish, but not for profit, all or part of this material is granted provided that ACM's copyright notice is given and that reference is made to the publication, to its date of issue, and to the fact that reprinting privileges were granted by permission of the Association for Computing Machinery.

This work was supported in part by the Joint Services Electronics Program (U.S. Army, U.S. Navy, and U.S. Air Force) under Contract DAAB-07-72-C-0259.

Authors' addresses: F.P. Preparata, Coordinated Science Laboratory, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801; S.J. Hong, IBM Systems Product Division, Poughkeepsie, NY 12602. This work was completed while the second author was on leave at the University of Illinois.

Basic idea:






- Balanced subdivision, solve recursively
- Analogy: Mergesort
 - Split array in a balanced fashion into two arrays
 - Sort recursively
 - Combine



MERGE SÖRT

idea-instructions.com/merge-sort/
v1.2, CC by-nc-sa 4.0


IDEA



	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
A	...	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	...	
										k	
	1	2	3	4	5						
L	2	4	5	7	∞						
					i						
						1	2	3	4	5	
R						1	2	3	6	∞	
										j	


Basic idea:

- Balanced subdivision, solve recursively
- Analogy: Mergesort
 - Split array in a balanced fashion into two arrays
 - Sort recursively
 - Combine



Transfer to \mathbb{R}^2 :

- Separate by x -median
- Recursively: right and left hull
- Combine left and right hull



Assumption: General position (1. distinct x -coordinate and
2. no three points collinear)

- Split wrt. x -median \Rightarrow Left and right hull disjoint


- Runtime:

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + f(n) + f_{\text{merge}}(n) \text{ with } f(n) \in \Theta(n)$$

$f_{\text{merge}}(n) :=$ time for merging right and left hull


- Goal: $f_{\text{merge}}(n) \in \Theta(n)$

- Then $T(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$





Algorithmic approach:

- Find extreme points wrt. \leq_x for both hulls
 $v_0, v_k := \text{min./max. } x\text{-coordinate in left hull}$
 $w_0, w_l := \text{min./max. } x\text{-coordinate in right hull}$
- Find tangent for lower hulls (v_0, \dots, v_k) und (w_0, \dots, w_l) .
- Find tangent for upper hulls (v_k, \dots, v_0) und (w_l, \dots, w_0)
- Delete points between tangent points



- Some ideas similar to Gift Wrapping
- Various technical details
- Omitted here
- Lower bound: $\Omega(n \log n)$



Theorem 2.18

The algorithm of Preparata and Hong computes $\text{conv}(\mathcal{P})$ in optimal time $\Theta(n \log n)$.

1. Introduction and Definitions
2. Interlude: Algorithmic Paradigms
3. Jarvis' March
4. Quickhull
5. Divide-and-conquer and incremental construction
6. **Graham's Scan**
7. Optimal output-sensitive construction

Thank you!

